

Ecodesign “Points System” Study

Stakeholder Meeting No. 2

Michael Bennett, DG GROW C1

Brussels, 10 March 2017

Introduction - Overview Aims: Recap

- Complex products in Ecodesign – looking for ways to potentially address them: seeking your feedback, please!
- Exploratory nature of this exercise – broad examination of existing “points systems” approaches, with the specific notion in mind to feasibly and successfully apply approach to Ecodesign (if possible)
- Trying to gauge potential use in regulations for Ecodesign (maybe Energy Labelling) & Voluntary Agreements (Self-Regulatory Initiatives)
- “Points System” approaches may be useful for “mono-energy” modular applications, or for evaluating trade-offs with resource efficiency over the Life Cycle (& adhering to a LLCC approach, where feasible)
- Renewed emphasis of resource efficiency (energy efficiency + material efficiency) with the adoption of Circular Economy package
- Process of Four Tasks, starting with reviewing known methods, asking Member States’ Ecodesign Regulatory Committee experts, devising a potential method and then testing it on 2 Case Studies
- Case Studies are illustrative and informative, looking at archetypal problems – not a definitive recipe for “where we’ll go next”

Introduction - Overview Aims: Recap ctd

- Complex products: basically, no typical Functional Unit, or very difficult/ meaningless to define one owing to a variety of functions....
- Complex products: where a modular approach might be better applied?
- Complex products: are we missing 95% of the potential improvements (some discrete steps, some gradational) because we're only concentrating on the 5% that we think we can rigorously measure under "standard conditions"? (which may not reflect most realities of use patterns in any case)
- Build on the MEErP: avoid re-inventing the wheel. Take inspiration from Green Public Procurement, EU Ecolabel where relevant.....
- Take into account our "customers": manufacturers, importers, end-users, Market Surveillance Authorities, Member States' ministries
- Compliance and checking – complementary/ different style needed?

Objectives of Today's 2nd Stakeholder Meeting

1. To review the Task 3 draft report & methodology:

- Comments & feedback
- Suggestions for improvements
- Context of advancing treatment of complex products – successful?
- Implementation/ Market surveillance (see pt. 8 of Agenda, PM)

2. What today's meeting is **NOT** about:

- Draft or potential Ecodesign measures for Servers or Data Storage Devices
- Draft or potential Ecodesign measures for Machine Tools

Objectives of Today's 2nd Stakeholder Meeting - ctd

3. To review the Task 4 draft reports and Case Study findings/ applications:

- Successful application of Task 3 methodology?
- Content/ advances made
- Does the Task 3 methodology have to be modified to some extent, after seeing its Task 4 application in two Case Studies?
- Suggestions for changes/ amendments/ further work.

4. Next steps (c. 2 months remaining)

- Review/ possible redrafting of Tasks 3 and 4 reports
- Task 5: additional work – relatively small budget for additional work. Feedback on suggested scope.

General - for more information..... Thank you!

- http://ec.europa.eu/growth/industry/sustainability/ecodesign/index_en.htm
- <http://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-efficiency/energy-efficient-products>
- GROW-ECODESIGN@ec.europa.eu

- *Ecodesign “Points System” Study:* <https://points-system.eu/welcome>
- Email: michael-john.bennett@ec.europa.eu

